

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 01/30/2004 Eugene O'Donnell **ROK100** 5632 10/767,821 EXAMINER 34356 7590 06/21/2005 ASHKAN NAJAFI, P.A. JOHNSON, STEPHEN 6817 SOUTHPOINT PARKWAY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **SUITE 2301** JACKSONVILLE, FL 32216 3641

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/767,821		O'DONNELL, EUGENE	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Stephen M.	Johnson	3641	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> .					
2a) This action		This action is no			
3) Since this a					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of Reference			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO ate <u>1/30/2004</u> .	/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

the

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050615

Art Unit: 3641

- 1. The drawings are objected to because numerical indicators 36 and 81 are not illustrated. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the spring member (see claims 3, 7, and 10) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 2. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 4. Claim 10 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 7. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).
- 5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3641

In claim 1, line 9; in claim 6, line 9; and in claim 10, line 9; use of the phrase "said edge portion" makes the claim indefinite as to which of the previous plurality of different edge portions is intended.

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth in view of Adams, Lee, Karlyn, or Roberts et al.

Toth discloses a shield comprising:

- a) a first member with edge portion having crests and troughs; 1, 3
- b) a second member with edge portion having crests and troughs; 1, 3
- c) a plurality of apertures; inside 3
- d) an elongated pin; and page 1, lines 72-75
- e) a plurality of layers. see fig. 5

Toth applies as recited above. However, undisclosed is a collapsible arm brace located on one side of the portable shield and a hand bar located on the other side of the portable shield.

Adams (I, J); Lee (12, 10); Karlyn (12, 16); and Roberts et al. (38, 34) each teach a collapsible arm brace located on one side of the portable shield and a hand bar located on the other side of the portable shield. Applicant is substituting one means for carrying a portable shield for another means commonly known in this art to carry a portable shield. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of either

Application/Control Number: 10/767,821 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

Adams, Lee, Karlyn, or Roberts et al. to the teachings of Toth and have a portable shield with a particular means for carrying the portable shield.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toth in view of Adams, Lee, Karlyn, or Roberts et al. as applied to claims 1 and 4 above, and further in view of Cohen et al..

Toth, Adams, Lee, Karlyn, and Roberts et al. apply as previously recited. However, undisclosed is spring member for biasing the first and second armor members to a selected position. Cohen et al. teaches a spring member for biasing the first and second armor members to a selected position (32). Applicant is selecting a means known in this art to bias apart armor members and putting it to use as it is already commonly known to be used in this art.

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norwood.

Norwood discloses a shield comprising:

a) a first member with edge portion having crests and troughs, 1, 3

b) a second member with edge portion having crests and troughs; 2, 3

c) a plurality of apertures; inside 3

d) an elongated pin; 4, 5

e) a handle; and

f) a collapsible brace.

Art Unit: 3641

11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Norwood in view of Toth.

Norwood applies as previously recited. However, undisclosed are first and second armor members composed of a plurality of armor layers. Toth teaches first and second armor members composed of a plurality of armor layers (see fig. 5). Applicant is substituting one armor member layer for another in an analogous art setting. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art at the time of the invention to apply the teachings of Toth to the Norwood armor shield and have an armor shield with different compositions for the armor members.

- Claims 2, 5, 7-9, and 11-12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Szalkay, Hajdu, Sankar (495), Westrick, Volpei et al. and Kaiser disclose other state of the art armor shields.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 800-786-9199.

Art Unit: 3641

There was

STEPHEN M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

SMJ June 15, 2005 Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641